

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,898	01/31/2001		William Edward Jennings	1700.102	8219
21176	7590	07/14/2004		EXAM	INER
SUMMA &		, P.A. MUNITY HOUSE RO	JACKSON,	JACKSON, ANDRE K	
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOTT	E, NC 2	8277	2856		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/773,898	JENNINGS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this account of the	André K. Jackson	2856
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed //s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 9 and 16 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the did drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claims 9 and 16, the claims were amended to allowable subject matter from the previous Office action 12/23/03. However, the amended claims contain unclear terms. For instance it is unclear if the cap and the closure are the same or two different parts. The claims recite a cap and said closure. Are the cap and the closure the same or different parts of the apparatus?
- Claims 9 and 16 recites the limitation "the closure" in lines 4-6 and lines
 6,7 and 10 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this
 limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 2856

6. Claims 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strauss et al. in view of Sadler et al.

Regarding claim 9, Strauss et al. discloses a pressure-resistant vessel that is transparent to microwave radiation a closure for the vessel; a pressure transducer external to the vessel and the closure (Figure 1). Strauss does not disclose a needle for extending from the transducer, through the cap and into the vessel and for providing pressure communication between the interior of the vessel and the transducer and a collet for engaging and maintaining the transducer, the needle, the closure and the vessel in linear relationship by exerting a radial force inwardly against the vessel and an axial force linearly against the cap so that the pressure in the vessel is transmitted to the transducer while the vessel is in use. However, Sadler discloses a needle for extending from the transducer, through the cap (108) and into the vessel and for providing pressure communication between the interior of the vessel and the transducer and a collet (sleeve, 40) for engaging and maintaining the transducer, the needle, the closure and the vessel in linear relationship by exerting a radial force inwardly against the vessel and an axial force linearly against the cap so that the pressure in the vessel is transmitted to the transducer while the vessel is in use (Figure 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strauss et al. to include a needle for

Art Unit: 2856

extending from the transducer, through the closure and into the vessel and for providing pressure communication between the interior of the vessel and the transducer and a collet for engaging and maintaining the transducer, the needle, the closure and the vessel in linear relationship by exerting a radial force inwardly against the vessel and an axial force linearly against the cap so that the pressure in the vessel is transmitted to the transducer while the vessel is in use. By adding these features the user would be able to provide a constant link for observing the pressure within the vessel.

Regarding claim 16, Strauss et al. discloses a pressure-resistant vessel that is transparent to microwave radiation a closure for the vessel; a pressure transducer external to the vessel and the closure (Figure 1). Strauss does not disclose a needle for extending from the transducer, through the cap and into the vessel and for providing pressure communication between the interior of the vessel and the transducer and a collet for engaging and maintaining the transducer, the needle, the closure and the vessel in linear relationship by exerting a radial force inwardly against the vessel and an axial force linearly against the cap so that the pressure in the vessel is transmitted to the transducer while the vessel is in use. However, Sadler discloses a needle for extending from the transducer, through the cap (108) and into the vessel and the

Art Unit: 2856

transducer and a collet (sleeve, 40) for engaging and maintaining the transducer, the needle, the closure and the vessel in linear relationship by exerting a radial force inwardly against the vessel and an axial force linearly against the cap so that the pressure in the vessel is transmitted to the transducer while the vessel is in use and a means for urging the septum towards the vessel while urging the vessel towards the transducer (Figure 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strauss et al. to include a needle for extending from the transducer, through the closure and into the vessel and for providing pressure communication between the interior of the vessel and the transducer and a collet for engaging and maintaining the transducer, the needle, the closure and the vessel in linear relationship by exerting a radial force inwardly against the vessel and an axial force linearly against the cap so that the pressure in the vessel is transmitted to the transducer while the vessel is in use and a means for urging the septum towards the vessel while urging the vessel towards the transducer. By adding these features the user would be able to provide a constant link for observing the pressure within the vessel. Strauss et al. do not disclose a penetrable septum for receiving the needle there through while maintaining; a pressure seal to the vessel. However, Sadler discloses a closure having a penetrable septum for receiving the needle there through while maintaining; a pressure seal to the vessel (Figure 8).

Art Unit: 2856

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strauss et al. to include a closure having a penetrable septum for receiving the needle there through while maintaining; a pressure seal to the vessel. By adding this feature the user would be able to provide a constant pressure seal on the vessel. Neither Strauss et al. nor Sadler discloses where the septum is formed of a material selected from the group consisting of butyl rubber and siloxane polymers. However, it is well within the purview of the skilled artisan to make the membrane of a particular resilient material to hold its form. Strauss et al. do not disclose a means for securing the septum against pressure developed in the vessel. However, Sadler discloses a means for securing the septum against pressure developed in the vessel (Figure 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Strauss to include a means for securing the membrane and the closure against pressure developed in the vessel during a chemical reaction. By adding this feature the user would be able to avoid leakage from the vessel.

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to André K. Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2196. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 9, 2004

HELEN KWOK

Helm Hemle

Page 7